

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,213	01/09/2006	Kenichi Shimura	Q92193	4042
23373 SUGHRUE MI	23373 7590 11/26/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			LULIS, MICHAEL P	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2824	
•			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		T11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/561,213	SHIMURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Lulis	2824
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
eriod for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some yearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in.  eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONotatute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
atus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
sposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	• .	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
		1
achment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number:

10/561,213 Art Unit: 2824

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- I. Figures 1-3, claims 1 and 2
- II. Figures 4-6, claims 3-6
- III. Figures 8-9, claims 3-6
- IV. Figures 10-11, claims 3-6
- V. Figures 12-14, claims 3, 4, and 7
- VI. Figures 15-16, claims 3, 4, and 7
- VII. Figures 17-18, claims 3 and 8-10
- VIII. Figure 19, claims 3 and 8-10
- IX. Figures 20-22, claims 3, 11, 12, 14, and 15
- X. Figure 23, claims 3, 11, 12, 14, and 15
- XI. Figures 24-25, claims 3, 11, 12, 14, and 15
- XII. Figures 26-28, claims 3, 11, 12, 14, and 15
- XIII. Figure 29, claims 3 and 11-15
- XIV. Figures 30-31, claims 3, 11, 14, and 15
- XV. Figures 32-33, claims 3, 11, 14, and 15
- XVI. Figure 34, claims 16-18

Application/Control Number:

10/561,213 Art Unit: 2824

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election. No claims are generic. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a). The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features because each species has features not present in other species.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Lulis whose telephone number is (571) 272-9015. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ML 20 November 2007 Maurman 11/21/07

TUAN T. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER